Re: Feature Launcher status (2024/09/23)

**Subject:** Re: Feature Launcher status ( 2024/09/23 )

**From:** "Michael H. Siemaszko" <mhsiemaszko@7thraylabs.com>

**Date:** 9/23/24, 14:59

To: "Timothy Ward" <tim.ward@kentyou.com>

Hi Tim,

Before our today's meeting, I am sending along list of questions I wanted to ask.

Status is known to you from last week's PR, its review, and subsequent fixes applied. As mentioned, I am focusing the next items, to make it on time with the demo project.

Questions I have are the following:

- 1) Regarding priorities in implementation I deliberately first chose ( and implemented or am in the process of implementing ) those functionalities which are needed for demo project, as per your outline of what that project is supposed to demonstrate, i.e.:
- Launching framework
- \* Launching a framework with a "simple" feature, containing bundles and configurations, with no start levels and no framework selection
- \* Launching a framework with a feature which selects a framework implementation, with no start levels
- Installing features at runtime
- \* Installing a "simple" feature, with no start levels
- \* Installing a second feature with overlapping bundles
- Updating features at runtime
- \* Simple update showing version changes in some of the bundles
- Removing features
- \* A simple uninstallation case

Please confirm and supplement if necessary, as that's how priorities are set currently.

- 2) Continuing re: demo project aside from your outline of what that project is supposed to demonstrate, how is user supposed to interact? I.e. via GUI? Via CLI? If CLI, how is "Add the Command line client" ( <a href="https://github.com/kentyou/feature-launcher-prototype/">https://github.com/kentyou/feature-launcher-prototype/</a> issues/21) related to this?
- 3) Please clarify regarding "160.4.3.2: #1. If any provider specific configuration has been given to the Feature Launcher implementation then this should be used to identify the framework" this is not clear to me, the other items in that section are clear enough;

1 of 2 9/6/25, 23:23

Re: Feature Launcher status (2024/09/23)

relevant class is `com.kentyou.featurelauncher.impl.util.FrameworkFactoryLocator`

- 4) Custom exceptions instead of re-throwing as RunTimeException e.g. @ `com.kentyou.featurelauncher.impl.repository.LocalArtifactRepositoryImpl` API / specification does not define custom exception in case of exception thrown during `com.kentyou.featurelauncher.impl.repository.LocalArtifactRepositoryImpl.getArtifact(ID)` operation; should there such?
- 5) Should CI/CD be set up for this project? If yes, should GitHub actions be used or integrate with some other build server?

## Regards,

--

Michael H. Siemaszko Ideas Into Software LLC Telegram: mhsiemaszko

Email: <a href="mailto:mhsiemaszko@7thraylabs.com">mhsiemaszko@7thraylabs.com</a> WWW: <a href="https://ideas.into.software/">https://ideas.into.software/</a>

GitHub: https://github.com/ideas-into-software/ LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/in/mhsiemaszko/

Twitter: https://twitter.com/IntoSoftware/

On 9/18/24 22:20, Timothy Ward wrote:

A call to report status, highlight any blocking issues, and provide feedback on the specification

## Microsoft Teams Need help?

## Join the meeting now

Meeting ID: 368 661 349 750

Passcode: VWT4Yy

For organisers: Meeting options

2 of 2